

CONDITIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE RADIAL SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR SYSTEMS

DRAGOS-PATRU COVEI¹

ABSTRACT. By using a monotone iterative scheme and Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we show the existence of positive radial solutions to the quasilinear systems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\phi_1} u := a_1(|x|)f_1(v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \\ \Delta_{\phi_2} v := a_2(|x|)f_2(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \end{cases}$$

under appropriate conditions on the functions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , the weights a_1, a_2 and to the nonlinearities f_1, f_2 . Moreover, we obtain a number of qualitative results concerning the behavior of solutions. We also point that the functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 includes special cases appearing in mathematical models in nonlinear elasticity, plasticity, generalized Newtonian fluids, and in quantum physics.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of nonnegative solutions for a quasilinear system of the type

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\phi_1} u := a_1(|x|)f_1(v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \\ \Delta_{\phi_2} v := a_2(|x|)f_2(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_{\phi_i} u$ ($i = 1, 2$) stands for the ϕ_i -Laplacian operator defined as $\Delta_{\phi_i} u := \operatorname{div}(\phi_i(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$ and the C^1 -functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfy throughout the paper the following conditions:

- (O1) $\phi_i \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_i(t) = 0$;
- (O2) $t\phi_i(t) > 0$ is strictly increasing for $t > 0$;
- (O3) there exist positive constants $\underline{k}_i, \bar{k}_i$, the continuous and increasing functions $\underline{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and the continuous functions $\underline{\psi}_i, \bar{\psi}_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\underline{k}_i \underline{\theta}_i(s_1) \underline{\psi}_i(s_2) \leq h_i^{-1}(s_1 s_2) \leq \bar{k}_i \bar{\theta}_i(s_1) \bar{\psi}_i(s_2) \text{ for all } s_1, s_2 > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where h_i^{-1} is the inverse function of $h_i(t) = t\phi_i(t)$ for $t > 0$.

The motivation for the present work stems from recent investigations of the authors [24], [12, 13]. We give a quick review here. Lair, [12] has considered

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J55, 35J60 Secondary: 35J65.

Key words and phrases. Entire solution; Fixed Point; Large solution; Bounded Solution; Nonlinear system.

Copyright 2016 by the JOURNAL.

entire large radial solutions for the elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = a_1(|x|) v^\alpha, \\ \Delta v = a_2(|x|) u^\beta, \end{cases} x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, a_1 and a_2 are nonnegative continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$, and he proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for this system to have a nonnegative entire large radial solution (i.e., a nonnegative spherically symmetric solution (u, v) on $\mathbb{R}^N$ that satisfies $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = \infty$), is

$$\int_0^\infty t a_1(t) \left(t^{2-N} \int_0^t s^{N-3} Q(s) ds \right)^\alpha dt = \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\int_0^\infty t a_2(t) \left(t^{2-N} \int_0^t s^{N-3} P(s) ds \right)^\beta dt = \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

where $P(r) = \int_0^r \tau a_1(\tau) d\tau$ and $Q(r) = \int_0^r \tau a_2(\tau) d\tau$.

It is well known, see Yang [24], that if $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a spherically symmetric continuous function and the nonlinearity $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, increasing function with $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f(s) > 0$ for all $s > 0$ which satisfies

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{f(t)} dt = \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

then the single equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = a(|x|) f(u) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(|x|) = \infty \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

has a nonnegative radial solution if and only if a satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_a(t) = \infty, \quad \mathcal{A}_a(t) := \int_0^t s^{1-N} \int_0^s z^{N-1} a(z) dz ds.$$

After a simple computation, we can see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_a(t) = \frac{1}{N-2} \int_0^\infty r a(r) dr.$$

However, there is no equivalent results for systems (1.1), where f_1, f_2 satisfy a condition of the form (1.6). One of the purpose of this paper is to fill this gap.

Subsequently, Lair [13] extended the result of [12] to a more general case by merely requiring $\alpha\beta \leq 1$, and showed that if $\alpha\beta > 1$, then (1.3) has an entire large solution if either (1.4) and (1.5) fails to hold, i.e., a_1 and a_2 satisfy (at least) one of the conditions

$$\int_0^\infty t a_1(t) \left(t^{2-N} \int_0^t s^{N-3} Q(s) ds \right)^\alpha dt < \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\int_0^\infty t a_2(t) \left(t^{2-N} \int_0^t s^{N-3} P(s) ds \right)^\beta dt < \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

To summarises, if $\alpha\beta > 1$, a sufficient condition to ensure the existence of a positive entire large solution for the system (1.3) is that a_1 and a_2 satisfy (1.8)

or (1.9). Therefore, it remains unknown whether this is a necessary condition. However, we know from the reference [24] that this is not true for the single equation (1.7). The second purpose of this paper is to prove that this does not happen the systems either.

Finally, we note that if a_1 and a_2 satisfy

$$1) \int_0^\infty r a_1(r) dr = \infty, \quad 2) \int_0^\infty r a_2(r) dr = \infty, \quad (1.10)$$

then they also satisfy both (1.4) and (1.5), and likewise, if they satisfy

$$3) \int_0^\infty r a_1(r) dr < \infty, \quad 4) \int_0^\infty r a_2(r) dr < \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

then they also satisfy (1.8) and (1.9). In both cases, however, the converse is not true. For further results, see for instance, [1, 16, 18, 19, 20] and the references therein.

In the present paper, we are interested in providing a proof to our goals for a more general class of quasilinear systems of the form (1.1). This, actually, is the third motivation of our paper since the ϕ_i -Laplacian operator appears in mathematical models in nonlinear elasticity, plasticity, generalized Newtonian fluids, and in quantum physics.

Several results concerning our goals were obtained by Gregorio [3], Franchi-Lanconelli and Serrin [5], Hamdy-Massar-Tsouli [7], Keller [8], Kon'kov [10], Jaroš-Takaši [11], Losev-Mazepa [16], Lieberman [14], Li-Zhang-Zhang [15], Luthey [17], Mazepa [18], Naito-Usami [19, 20], Osserman [21], Smooke [22], Zhang-Zhou [25] and Zhang [26].

We expect that our work, while currently focussed on a very specific problem, will lead to general insights and new methods with potential applications to a much wider class of problems.

Throughout the paper we let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ be arbitrary parameters. We work under the following assumptions:

(A) $a_1, a_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are spherically symmetric continuous functions (i.e., $a_i(x) = a_i(|x|)$ for $i = 1, 2$);

(C1) $f_1, f_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous, increasing, $f_1(0) \cdot f_2(0) \geq 0$ and $f_1(s_1) \cdot f_2(s_2) > 0$ for all $s_1, s_2 > 0$;

(C2) there exist positive constants $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$, the continuous and increasing functions $g_1, g_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and the continuous functions $\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$f_1(t_1 \cdot w_1) \leq \bar{c}_1 g_1(t_1) \cdot \bar{\xi}_1(w_1) \quad \forall w_1 \geq 1 \text{ and } \forall t_1 \geq M_1 \cdot \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha)), \quad (1.12)$$

$$f_2(t_2 \cdot w_2) \leq \bar{c}_2 g_2(t_2) \cdot \bar{\xi}_2(w_2) \quad \forall w_2 \geq 1 \text{ and } \forall t_2 \geq M_2 \cdot \bar{\theta}_1(f_1(\beta)), \quad (1.13)$$

where $M_1 \geq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\beta}{\bar{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha))} \right\}$ and $M_2 \geq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\alpha}{\bar{\theta}_1(f_1(\beta))} \right\}$;

(C3) there are some constants $\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2 \in (0, \infty)$ and the continuous functions $\underline{\xi}_1, \underline{\xi}_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$f_1(m_1 w_1) \geq \underline{c}_1 \underline{\xi}_1(w_1) \quad \forall w_1 \geq 1, \quad (1.14)$$

$$f_2(m_2 w_2) \geq \underline{c}_2 \underline{\xi}_2(w_2) \quad \forall w_2 \geq 1, \quad (1.15)$$

where $m_1 \in (0, \min \{\beta, \underline{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha))\})$ and $m_2 \in (0, \min \{\alpha, \underline{\theta}_1(f_1(\beta))\})$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

As announced we start with the formulation of our results. It is convenient to give some notations needed in the sequel. The reader may just as well glance through this paper and return to it when necessary

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_i}(t) &= \int_0^t \overline{k}_i \overline{\psi}_i(s^{1-N} \int_0^s z^{N-1} a_i(z) dz) ds, \quad i = 1, 2 \\
\overline{P}_{1,2}(r) &= \int_0^r \overline{\psi}_2 \left(\overline{c}_1 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) \overline{\xi}_1 (1 + \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(t)) dt \right) dy, \\
\overline{P}_{2,1}(r) &= \int_0^r \overline{\psi}_1 \left(\overline{c}_2 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_2(t) \overline{\xi}_2 (1 + \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_1}(t)) dt \right) dy, \\
\overline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{P}_{1,2}(r), \quad \overline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{P}_{2,1}(r) \\
\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_i}(t) &= \int_0^t \underline{k}_i \underline{\psi}_i(s^{1-N} \int_0^s z^{N-1} a_i(z) dz) ds, \quad i = 1, 2 \\
\underline{P}_{1,2}(r) &= \int_0^r h_1^{-1} \left(\underline{c}_1 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) \underline{\xi}_1 (1 + \underline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(t)) dt \right) dy, \\
\underline{P}_{2,1}(r) &= \int_0^r h_2^{-1} \left(\underline{c}_2 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_2(t) \underline{\xi}_2 (1 + \underline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_1}(t)) dt \right) dy, \\
\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \underline{P}_{1,2}(r), \quad \underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \underline{P}_{2,1}(r) \\
H_{1,2}(r) &= \int_a^r \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_1(g_1(M_1 \overline{\theta}_2(f_2(t))))} dt, \quad H_{1,2}(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_{1,2}(s) \\
H_{2,1}(r) &= \int_b^r \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_2(g_2(M_2 \overline{\theta}_1(f_1(t))))} dt, \quad H_{2,1}(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_{2,1}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us point that

$$H'_{1,2}(r) = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_1(g_1(M_1 \overline{\theta}_2(f_2(r))))} > 0 \text{ for } r > a,$$

and

$$H'_{2,1}(r) = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_2(g_2(M_2 \overline{\theta}_1(f_1(r))))} > 0 \text{ for } r > b,$$

and then $H_{1,2}$ has the inverse function $H_{1,2}^{-1}$ on $[0, H_{1,2}(\infty))$ respectively $H_{2,1}$ has the inverse function $H_{2,1}^{-1}$ on $[0, H_{2,1}(\infty))$.

Having all these notations clearly for the readers, we state the following first result:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $H_{1,2}(\infty) = H_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ and (A), hold. Furthermore, if f_1 and f_2 satisfy the hypotheses (C1) and (C2) then the system (1.1) has one positive radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

If in addition, f_1 and f_2 satisfy the hypothesis (C3), $\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = \infty$. Conversely, if $\underline{\xi}_i = \overline{\xi}_i$

($i = 1, 2$), $h_i^{-1} = \bar{\psi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and (C1), (C2), (C3) hold true, and (u, v) is a nonnegative entire large solution of (1.1) such that $(u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta)$, then a_1 and a_2 satisfy $\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$.

Our Theorem 2.1 includes all known results about the large solutions for (1.1) as well as all of the ‘mixed’ cases and therefore gives an answer for our first goal. Next, we are interested in the existence of entire bounded radial solutions for the system (1.1).

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $H_{1,2}(\infty) = H_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ and (A), hold. Furthermore, if f_1 and f_2 satisfy the hypotheses (C1) and (C2) then the system (1.1) has one positive radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

Moreover, if $\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty$ and $\bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty$ then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) < \infty$.

The next Theorem present the situation when one of the components is bounded while the other is large.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $H_{1,2}(\infty) = H_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ and (A), hold. Furthermore, if f_1 and f_2 satisfy the hypotheses (C1) and (C2) then the system (1.1) has one positive radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

Moreover, the following hold:

- 1.) If in addition, f_2 satisfy the condition (1.15), $\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty$ and $\underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = \infty$.
- 2.) If in addition, f_1 satisfy the condition (1.14), $\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty$ then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) < \infty$.

We now propose a more refined question concerning the solutions of system (1.1). In analogy with Theorems 2.1-2.3, we can also prove the following three theorems.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that the hypothesis (A) holds. If (C1), (C2), $\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < H_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty$ and $\bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < H_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty$ are satisfied, then the system (1.1) has one positive bounded radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \underline{P}_{1,2}(r) \leq u(r) \leq H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(r)), \\ \beta + \underline{P}_{2,1}(r) \leq v(r) \leq H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(r)). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that the hypothesis (A) holds. If (C1), (C2), (1.14), $H_{1,2}(\infty) = \infty$, $\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < H_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty$ are satisfied, then the system (1.1) has one positive radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta),$$

such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) < \infty$.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that the hypothesis (A) holds. If (C1), (C2), (1.15), $\underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$, $H_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\overline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < H_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty$ are satisfied, then the system (1.1) has one positive radial solution*

$$(u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times C^1([0, \infty)) \text{ with } (u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta),$$

such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = \infty$.

Remark 2.7. Our assumptions (O3), (C2) and (C3) are further discussed in the famous book of Krasnosel'skii and Rutickii [9] (see also Soria [23]). Moreover, the class of nonlinearities considered by Lair [12], [13] are also included.

Remark 2.8. (see [6, Lemma 2.1]) Suppose ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy (O1), (O2) and (O4) there exist $l_i, m_i > 1$ such that

$$l_i \leq \frac{\Phi_i'(t) \cdot t}{\Phi_i(t)} \leq m_i \text{ for any } t > 0, \text{ where } \Phi_i(t) = \int_0^t \phi_i(s) s ds, t > 0;$$

(O5) there exist $a_0^i, a_1^i > 0$ such that

$$a_0^i \leq \frac{\Phi_i''(t) \cdot t}{\Phi_i'(t)} \leq a_1^i \text{ for any } t > 0.$$

Then, the assumption (1.2) holds with

$$\overline{\psi}_i = \underline{\psi}_i = h_i^{-1}, \underline{k}_i = \overline{k}_i = 1, \underline{\theta}_i(t) = \min \{t^{1/m_i}, t^{1/l_i}\}, \overline{\theta}_i(t) = \max \{t^{1/m_i}, t^{1/l_i}\}.$$

We would like to point that:

Remark 2.9 (see [6] for more information). The function Φ_i appears in a lot of physical applications, such as:

Nonlinear Elasticity: $\Phi_i(t) = (1 + t^2)^p - 1$, $\phi_i(t) = 2p(1 + t^2)^{p-1}$, $t > 0$ and $p > \frac{1}{2}$;

Plasticity: $\Phi_i(t) = t^p (\ln(1 + t))^q$, $\phi_i(t) = \frac{\ln^{q-1}(t+1)}{t+1} [(pt^{p-1} + qt^{q-2}) \ln(t+1) + qt^{p-1}]$, $t > 0$, $p > 1$ and $q > 0$;

Generalized Newtonian fluids: $\Phi_i(t) = \int_0^t s^{1-p} (\sinh^{-1} s)^q ds$, $\phi_i(t) = t^{-p} \operatorname{arcsinh}^q t$, $t > 0$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $q > 0$;

Plasma Physics: $\Phi_i(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} + \frac{t^q}{q}$, $\phi_i(t) = t^{p-2} + t^{q-2}$ where $t > 0$ and $1 < p < q$.

Remark 2.10. Let

$$M_1^+ = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \int_0^t \overline{k}_i \overline{\psi}_2(s^{1-N} \int_0^s z^{N-1} a_2(z) dz) ds$$

and

$$M_2^+ = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \int_0^t \overline{k}_1 \overline{\psi}_1(s^{1-N} \int_0^s z^{N-1} a_1(z) dz) ds.$$

The following situations improve our theorems:

a) If $M_1^+ \in (0, \infty)$ then the condition (1.12) is not necessary but $H_{1,2}(r)$ must be replaced by

$$H_{1,2}(r) = \int_a^r \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_1(f_1(M_1 \overline{\theta}_2(f_2(t))))} dt, \quad M_1 \geq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\beta}{\overline{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha))} \right\} \cdot (1 + M_1^+), \quad (2.1)$$

and therefore $\overline{P}_{1,2}(r) = \int_0^r \overline{\psi}_2(\overline{c}_1 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) dt) dy$.

b) If $M_2^+ \in (0, \infty)$ then the condition (1.13) is not necessary but $H_{2,1}(r)$ must be replaced by

$$H_{2,1}(r) = \int_b^r \frac{1}{\overline{\theta}_2(f_2(M_2 \overline{\theta}_1(f_1(t))))} dt, \quad M_2 \geq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\alpha}{\overline{\theta}_1(f_1(\beta))} \right\} \cdot (1 + M_2^+). \quad (2.2)$$

and therefore $\overline{P}_{2,1}(r) = \int_0^r \overline{\psi}_1(\overline{c}_2 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_2(t) dt) dy$.

c) If $M_1^+ \in (0, \infty)$ and $M_2^+ \in (0, \infty)$ then the conditions (1.12) and (1.13) are not necessary but $H_{1,2}(r)$ and $H_{2,1}(r)$ must be replaced by (2.1) and (2.2). Here $\overline{P}_{1,2}(r)$ and $\overline{P}_{2,1}(r)$ are defined as in a), b).

d) If $m_1 \geq 1$ then $\underline{c}_1 = 1$ and $\underline{\xi}_1 = f_1$.

e) If $m_2 \geq 1$ then $\underline{c}_2 = 1$ and $\underline{\xi}_2 = f_2$.

f) If $m_1 \geq 1$ and $m_2 \geq 1$ then $\underline{c}_1 = \underline{c}_2 = 1$, $\underline{\xi}_1 = f_1$ and $\underline{\xi}_2 = f_2$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The first important tool in our proof is a variant of the Arzelà–Ascoli Theorem.

3.1. The Arzelà–Ascoli Theorem. Let $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r_1 \leq r_2$ and

$$(K = [r_1, r_2], d_K(x, y))$$

be a compact metric space, with the metric $d_K(x, y) = |x - y|$, and let

$$C([r_1, r_2]) = \{g : [r_1, r_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid g \text{ is continuous on } [r_1, r_2]\}$$

denote the space of real valued continuous functions on $[r_1, r_2]$ and for any $g \in C([r_1, r_2])$, let

$$\|g\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [r_1, r_2]} |g(x)|$$

be the maximum norm on $C([r_1, r_2])$.

Remark 3.1. Let $g^1, g^2 \in C([r_1, r_2])$. If $d(g^1, g^2) = \|g^1 - g^2\|_\infty$ then $(C([r_1, r_2]), d)$ is a complete metric space.

Definition 3.2. We say that the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $C([r_1, r_2])$ is bounded if there exists a positive constant $C < \infty$ such that $\|g_n(x)\|_\infty \leq C$ for each $x \in [r_1, r_2]$. (Equivalently: $|g_n(x)| \leq C$ for each $x \in [r_1, r_2]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$).

Definition 3.3. We say that the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $C([r_1, r_2])$ is equicontinuous if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ (which depends only on ε) such that

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

whenever $d_K(x, y) < \delta$ for every $x, y \in [r_1, r_2]$.

Definition 3.4. Let $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of functions defined on $[r_1, r_2]$. The sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $g(x)$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N (which depends only on ε) such that

$$|g_n(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n > N \text{ and } x \in [r_1, r_2].$$

Theorem 3.5 (Arzelà–Ascoli theorem). *If a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C([r_1, r_2])$ is bounded and equicontinuous then it has a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges uniformly to $g(x)$ on $C([r_1, r_2])$.*

3.2. Proof of Theorems 2.1– 2.3. Radially symmetric solutions of the problem (1.1) correspond to solutions of the ordinary differential equations system

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1} \phi_1(|u'(r)|) u'(r))' = r^{N-1} a_1(r) f_1(v(r)) \text{ on } [0, \infty), \\ (r^{N-1} \phi_2(|v'(r)|) v'(r))' = r^{N-1} a_2(r) f_2(u(r)) \text{ on } [0, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

subject to the initial conditions $(u(0), v(0)) = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $(u'(0), v'(0)) = (0, 0)$, since $(u(r), v(r))$ is a radially symmetric positive entire solution of the system (1.1). Integrating (3.1) from 0 to r , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1(|u'(r)|) u'(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r r^{N-1} a_1(r) f_1(v(s)) ds, \text{ on } [0, \infty), \\ \phi_2(|v'(r)|) v'(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r r^{N-1} a_2(r) f_2(u(s)) ds, \text{ on } [0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Taking into account the equations (3.2), it is easy to see that $u(r)$ is an increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ of the radial variable r , and the same conclusion holds for $v(r)$. Thus, for radial solutions of the system (3.1) we seek for solutions of the system of integral equations

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v(s)) ds dt, & r \geq 0, \\ v(r) = \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_2(u(s)) ds dt, & r \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The system (3.3) can be solved by using successive approximation. We define inductively $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ and $\{v_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ on $[0, \infty)$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} u_0(r) = \alpha, v_0(r) = \beta, \\ u_m(r) = \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_{m-1}(s)) ds dt, & r \geq 0, \\ v_m(r) = \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_2(u_m(s)) ds dt, & r \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Obviously, for all $r \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $u_m(r) \geq \alpha$, $v_m(r) \geq \beta$ and $v_0 \leq v_1$. Our assumptions yield $u_1(r) \leq u_2(r)$, for all $r \geq 0$, so $v_1(r) \leq v_2(r)$, for all $r \geq 0$. Continuing on this line of reasoning, we obtain that the sequences $\{u_m\}_m$ and $\{v_m\}_m$ are increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

We next establish bounds for the non-decreasing sequences $\{u_m\}_m$ and $\{v_m\}_m$. From (3.4) we obtain the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
v_m(r) &= \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_1(u_m(s)) ds dt \\
&\leq \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(f_2(u_m(t))) t^{1-N} \int_0^t z^{N-1} a_2(z) dz dt \\
&\leq \beta + \int_0^r \bar{k}_2 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(t))) \bar{\psi}_2(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t z^{N-1} a_2(z) dz dt \\
&\leq \beta + \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r))) \int_0^r \bar{k}_2 \bar{\psi}_2(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t z^{N-1} a_2(z) dz dt \quad (3.5) \\
&\leq \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r))) \left(\frac{\beta}{\bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r)))} + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(r) \right) \\
&\leq \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r))) \left(\frac{\beta}{\bar{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha))} + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(r) \right) \\
&\leq M_1 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r))) (1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(r))
\end{aligned}$$

and, in the same vein

$$\begin{aligned}
u_m(r) &= \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_{m-1}(s)) ds dt \\
&\leq \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_m(s)) ds dt \quad (3.6) \\
&\leq M_2 \bar{\theta}_1(f_1(v_m(r))) (1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_1}(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (3.5), by an elementary computation it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
u'_m(r) &\leq h_1^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_m(s)) ds \right) \\
&\leq h_1^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(M_1 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(s))) (1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(s))) ds \right) \\
&\leq h_1^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) \bar{c}_1 g_1(M_1 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(s)))) \bar{\xi}_1(1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(s)) ds \right) \quad (3.7) \\
&\leq h_1^{-1} \left(g_1(M_1 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r)))) \bar{c}_1 r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) \bar{\xi}_1(1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(s)) ds \right) \\
&\leq \bar{k}_1 \bar{\theta}_1(g_1(M_1 \bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r)))) \bar{\psi}_2 \left(\bar{c}_1 r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) \bar{\xi}_1(1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(s)) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as above, but now with the second inequality (3.6), one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
v'_m(r) &= h_2^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_1(u_{m-1}(s)) ds \right) \quad (3.8) \\
&\leq \bar{k}_2 \bar{\theta}_2(g_2(M_2 \bar{\theta}_1(f_1(v_m(r)))) \bar{\psi}_1 \left(\bar{c}_2 r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_2(s) \bar{\xi}_2(1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_1}(s)) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous relations (3.7) and (3.8), we further obtain

$$\frac{(u_1^m(r))'}{\bar{\theta}_1(g_1(M_1\bar{\theta}_2(f_2(u_m(r)))))} \leq \bar{k}_1\bar{\psi}_2 \left(\bar{c}_1 r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_2}(s)) ds \right) \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{(u_2^m(r))'}{\bar{\theta}_2(g_2(M_2\bar{\theta}_1(f_1(v_m(r)))))} \leq \bar{k}_2\bar{\psi}_1 \left(\bar{c}_2 r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_2(s) \bar{\xi}_2 (1 + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{a_1}(s)) ds \right) \quad (3.10)$$

Integrating the inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) from 0 to r , yields that

$$\int_a^{u_m(r)} \frac{\bar{k}_1^{-1}}{\bar{\theta}_1(g_1(M_1\bar{\theta}_2(f_2(t))))} dt \leq \bar{P}_{1,2}(r), \quad \int_b^{v_m(r)} \frac{\bar{k}_2^{-1}}{\bar{\theta}_2(g_2(M_2\bar{\theta}_1(f_1(t))))} dt \leq \bar{P}_{2,1}(r). \quad (3.11)$$

Also, going back to the setting of $H_{1,2}$ and $H_{2,1}$ we rewrite (3.11) as

$$H_{1,2}(u_m(r)) \leq \bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{2,1}(v_m(r)) \leq \bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(r), \quad (3.12)$$

which plays a basic role in the proof of our main results. Since $H_{1,2}$ (resp. $H_{2,1}$) is a bijection with the inverse function $H_{1,2}^{-1}$ (resp. $H_{2,1}^{-1}$) strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, the inequalities (3.12) can be reformulated as

$$u_m(r) \leq H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(r)) \quad \text{and} \quad v_m(r) \leq H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(r)). \quad (3.13)$$

So, we have found upper bounds for $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ which are dependent of r . We point to the reader that the corresponding estimates (3.13) are sometimes essential.

Next we prove that the sequences $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0 > 0$. To do this, we take

$$C_1 = H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(c_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(c_0))$$

and since $(u_m(r))' \geq 0$ and $(v_m(r))' \geq 0$ it follows that

$$u_m(r) \leq u_m(c_0) \leq C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_m(r) \leq v_m(c_0) \leq C_2.$$

We have proved that $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are bounded on $[0, c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0 > 0$. Using this fact in (3.7) and (3.8) we show that the same is true for $(u_m(r))'$ and $(v_m(r))'$. By construction we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} u_m'(r) &= h_1^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_{m-1}(s)) ds \right) \\ &\leq h_1^{-1} \left(r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v_m(s)) ds \right) \\ &\leq h_1^{-1} \left(\int_0^r a_1(s) f_1(v_{m-1}(s)) ds \right) \\ &\leq h_1^{-1} \left(\|a_1\|_\infty \int_0^r f_1(v_{m-1}(s)) ds \right) \\ &\leq h_1^{-1} \left(\|a_1\|_\infty f_1(C_2) \int_0^r ds \right) \\ &\leq h_1^{-1} (\|a_1\|_\infty f_1(C_2) c_0) \quad \text{on } [0, c_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We follow the argument used in (3.14) to obtain

$$(v_m(r))' \leq h_2^{-1} (\|a_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1)c_0) \text{ on } [0, c_0].$$

Summarizing, we have found that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1^m(r))' &\leq h_1^{-1} (\|a_1\|_\infty f_1(C_2)c_0) \text{ on } [0, c_0], \\ (v_m(r))' &\leq h_2^{-1} (\|a_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1)c_0) \text{ on } [0, c_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it remains to prove that $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0 > 0$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ be arbitrary. To verify equicontinuity on $[0, c_0]$ observe that the mean value theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} |u_m(x) - u_m(y)| &= |(u_m(\zeta_1))'| |x - y| \leq h_1^{-1} (\|a_1\|_\infty f_1(C_2)c_0) |x - y|, \\ |v_m(x) - v_m(y)| &= |(v_m(\zeta_2))'| |x - y| \leq h_2^{-1} (\|a_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1)c_0) |x - y|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in [0, c_0]$ and for some ζ_1, ζ_2 . Then it suffices to take

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{h_1^{-1} (\|a_1\|_\infty f_1(C_2)c_0)} \text{ and } \delta_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{h_2^{-1} (\|a_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1)c_0)}$$

to see that $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$.

Since $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$ we can apply the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem with $[r_1, r_2] = [0, c_0]$. Thus, there exists a subsequence, denoted $\{(u_{m^1}(r), v_{\overline{m}^1}(r))\}$ that converges uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Let

$$(u_{m^1}(r), v_{\overline{m}^1}(r)) \xrightarrow{(m^1, \overline{m}^1) \rightarrow \infty} (u_1(r), v_1(r)) \text{ uniformly on } [0, 1].$$

Likewise, the subsequence $\{(u_{m^1}(r), v_{\overline{m}^1}(r))\}$ is bounded and equicontinuous on the interval $[0, 2]$. Hence, it must contain a convergent subsequence

$$\{(u_{m^2}(r), v_{\overline{m}^2}(r))\},$$

that converges uniformly on $[0, 2] \times [0, 2]$. Let

$$(u_{m^2}(r), v_{\overline{m}^2}(r)) \xrightarrow{(m^2, \overline{m}^2) \rightarrow \infty} (u_2(r), v_2(r)) \text{ uniformly on } [0, 2] \times [0, 2].$$

Note that

$$\{u_{m^2}(r)\} \subseteq \{u_{m^1}(r)\} \subseteq \{u_m(r)\}^{m \geq 2} \text{ and } \{v_{\overline{m}^2}(r)\} \subseteq \{v_{\overline{m}^1}(r)\} \subseteq \{v_m(r)\}^{m \geq 2}.$$

These imply

$$u_2(r) = u_1(r) \text{ and } v_2(r) = v_1(r) \text{ on } [0, 1].$$

Proceeding in this fashion we obtain a countable collection of subsequences such that

$$\{u_{m^n}\} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \{u_{m^1}(r)\} \subseteq \{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq n}$$

and

$$\{v_{\overline{m}^n}\} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \{v_{\overline{m}^1}(r)\} \subseteq \{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq n}$$

and a sequence $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n(r), v_n(r)) &\in C[0, n] \times C[0, n] && \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ (u_n(r), v_n(r)) &= (u_1(r), v_1(r)) && \text{for } r \in [0, 1] \\ (u_n(r), v_n(r)) &= (u_2(r), v_2(r)) && \text{for } r \in [0, 2] \\ \dots &&& \dots \dots \\ (u_n(r), v_n(r)) &= (u_{n-1}(r), v_{n-1}(r)) && \text{for } r \in [0, n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

Together, these observations show that there exists a sequence $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}$ that converges to $(u(r), v(r))$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(u_n(r), v_n(r)) = (u(r), v(r)) \text{ if } 0 \leq r \leq n.$$

This convergence is uniformly on bounded intervals, implying $(u(r), v(r)) \in C[0, \infty) \times C[0, \infty)$, and moreover, the family $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}$ is also equicontinuous. The solution $(u(r), v(r))$ constructed in this way is radially symmetric.

Going back to the system (3.1), the radial solutions of (1.1) are the solutions of the ordinary differential equations system (3.1). We conclude that radial solutions of (1.1) with $u(0) = \alpha$, $v(0) = \beta$ satisfy:

$$u(r) = \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v(s)) ds dt, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$v(r) = \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_2(u(s)) ds dt, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

We are now ready to give a complete proof of the Theorems 2.1-2.3.

3.2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1 completed: From (3.16) we obtain the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) &= \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_2(u(s)) ds dt \\ &\geq \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(f_2(\alpha) z^{1-N}) \int_0^z s^{N-1} a_2(s) ds dz \\ &\geq \beta + \underline{\theta}_2(f_2(\alpha)) \underline{A}_{a_2}(r) \\ &\geq m_1(1 + \underline{A}_{a_2}(r)), \end{aligned}$$

and, in the same vein

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) &= \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N}) \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v(s)) ds dt \\ &\geq m_2(1 + \underline{A}_{a_1}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\underline{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(r) &= \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v(s)) ds) dt \\
&\geq \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1} \left(y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) f_1(m_1(1 + \underline{A}_{a_2}(t))) dt \right) dy \\
&\geq \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1} \left(\underline{c}_1 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) \underline{\xi}_1(1 + \underline{A}_{a_2}(t)) dt \right) dy \quad (3.17) \\
&\geq \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1} \left(\underline{c}_1 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_1(t) \underline{\xi}_1(1 + \underline{A}_{a_2}(t)) dt \right) dy \\
&= \alpha + \underline{P}_{1,2}(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we refine the strategy above to prove:

$$\begin{aligned}
v(r) &\geq \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1} \left(\underline{c}_2 y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} a_2(t) \underline{\xi}_2(1 + \underline{A}_{a_1}(t)) dt \right) dy \\
&= \beta + \underline{P}_{2,1}(r),
\end{aligned}$$

and passing to the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.17) and in the above inequality we conclude that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = \infty,$$

which yields the result. In order to prove the converse let (u, v) be an entire large radial solution of (1.1) such that $(u, v) = (\alpha, \beta)$. Then, (u, v) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
u(r) &= \alpha + \int_0^r h_1^{-1}(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_1(s) f_1(v(s)) ds) dt, \quad r \geq 0, \\
v(r) &= \beta + \int_0^r h_2^{-1}(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_2(s) f_2(u(s)) ds) dt, \quad r \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and, so

$$H_{1,2}(u(r)) \leq \bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(r) \text{ and } H_{2,1}(v(r)) \leq \bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(r). \quad (3.18)$$

By passing to the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.18) we find that a_1 and a_2 satisfy $\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) = \bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$, since (u, v) is large and $H_{1,2}(\infty) = H_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$. This completes the proof. We next consider:

3.2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2 completed: If $\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty$ and $\bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty$, then using the same arguments as in (3.15) and (3.16) we can see that

$$u(r) \leq H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty)) < \infty \text{ and } v(r) \leq H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty)) < \infty \text{ for all } r \geq 0.$$

Hence (u, v) is bounded and this completes the proof.

3.2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3 completed: Case 1): By an analysis similar to the Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 above, we have that

$$u(r) \leq H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty)) < \infty \text{ and } v(r) \geq b + \bar{k}_2 \underline{P}_{2,1}(r).$$

So, if

$$\bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty \text{ and } \underline{P}_{2,1}(\infty) = \infty$$

then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = \infty.$$

In order, to complete the proofs it remains to proceed to the

Case 2): In this case, we invoke the proof of Theorem 2.2. An easy computation yields that

$$u(r) \geq \alpha + \bar{k}_1 \underline{P}_{1,2}(r) \text{ and } v(r) \leq H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(r)). \quad (3.19)$$

Our conclusion follows by letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.19).

3.3. Proof of Theorems 2.4- 2.6.

3.3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4 completed: We deduce from (3.12) and the conditions of the theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,2}(u_m(r)) &\leq \bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty) < \bar{k}_1 H_{1,2}(\infty) < \infty, \\ H_{2,1}(v_m(r)) &\leq \bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty) < \bar{k}_2 H_{2,1}(\infty) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $H_{1,2}^{-1}$ and $H_{2,1}^{-1}$ are strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, we find out that

$$u_m(r) \leq H_{1,2}^{-1}(\bar{k}_1 \bar{P}_{1,2}(\infty)) < \infty \text{ and } v_m(r) \leq H_{2,1}^{-1}(\bar{k}_2 \bar{P}_{2,1}(\infty)) < \infty,$$

and then the non-decreasing sequences $\{u_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{v_m(r)\}_{m \geq 1}$ are bounded above for all $r \geq 0$ and all m . Putting these two facts together yields

$$(u_m(r), v_m(r)) \rightarrow (u(r), v(r)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

and the limit functions u and v are positive entire bounded radial solutions of system (1.1).

3.3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 completed: It is a straightforward adaptation of the above proofs.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.-P. Covei, *Boundedness and blow-up of solutions for a nonlinear elliptic system*, International Journal of Mathematics, Volume 25, No. 9, Pages 1-12, 2014.
- [2] D.-P. Covei, *A remark on the existence of entire large and bounded solutions to a (k_1, k_2) -Hessian system with gradient term*, arXiv:1509.01494v4 [math.CA].
- [3] D. Gregorio, *A note on the Liouville method applied to elliptic eventually degenerate fully nonlinear equations governed by the Pucci operators and the Keller-Osserman condition*, Mathematische Annalen, Volume: 353, Issue: 1, Pages: 145-159, Published: MAY 2012.
- [4] H. Grosse and A. Martin, *Particle Physics and the Schrodinger Equation*, Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, 1997.
- [5] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli and J. Serrin, Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Advances in Mathematics 118, 177-243 (1996).
- [6] N. Fukagai, K. Narukawa, *On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Annali di Matematica, (2007), (186):3, 539-564.
- [7] A. Hamdy, M. Massar and N. Tsouli, *Existence of blow-up solutions for a non-linear equation with gradient term in $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 377, Issue 1, 1 May 2011, Pages 161-169.
- [8] J.B. Keller, *On solution of $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 10 (1957), 503-510.

- [9] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and YA. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, P. Noordhoff LTD. - Groningen - the Netherlands, 1961.
- [10] A. A. Kon'kov, *On properties of solutions of quasilinear second-order elliptic inequalities*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, Volumes 123–124, August 2015, Pages 89–114.
- [11] J. Jaroš and K. Takaši, *On strongly decreasing solutions of cyclic systems of second-order nonlinear differential equations*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 145A, Pages 1007–1028, 2015.
- [12] A.V. Lair, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of large solutions to sub-linear elliptic systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 365, Issue 1, 1 May 2010, Pages 103–108.
- [13] A.V. Lair, *Entire large solutions to semilinear elliptic systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 382, Issue 1, 1 October 2011, Pages 324–333.
- [14] G. M. Lieberman, *Asymptotic behavior and uniqueness of blow-up solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, June 2011, Volume 115, Issue 1, pp 213–249.
- [15] H. Li, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *A remark on the existence of entire positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 365 (2010) 338–341.
- [16] A.G. Losev, E.A. Mazepa, *On asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of some quasilinear inequalities on model Riemannian manifolds*, Ufa Mathematical Journal. Volume 5, No 1, Pages 83–89, 2013.
- [17] Z. A. Luthey, *Piecewise Analytical Solutions Method for the Radial Schrodinger Equation*, Ph. D. Thesis in Applied Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 1974.
- [18] E. A. Mazepa, *The positive solutions to quasilinear elliptic inequalities on model Riemannian manifolds*, Russian Mathematics, September 2015, Volume 59, Issue 9, Pages 18–25.
- [19] Y. Naito and H. Usami, *Entire solutions of the inequality $\operatorname{div}(A(|Du|)Du) \geq f(u)$* , Mathematische Zeitschrift, May 1997, Volume 225, Issue 1, Pages 167–175.
- [20] Y. Naito and H. Usami, *Nonexistence results of positive entire solutions for quasilinear elliptic inequalities*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, Volume 40, Issue 2, Pages 244–253, 1997.
- [21] R. Osserman, *On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 7, Pages 1641–1647, 1957.
- [22] M. D. Smooke, *Error Estimates for Piecewise Perturbation Series Solutions of the Radial Schrödinger Equation*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. Volume 20, Number 2, Pages 279–295, Apr., 1983.
- [23] J. Soria, *Tent Spaces based on weighted Lorentz spaces, Carleson Measures*, A dissertation presented to the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences of Washington University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, 1990.
- [24] H. Yang, *On the existence and asymptotic behavior of large solutions for a semilinear elliptic problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, Volume 4, Number 1, Pages 197–208, 2005.
- [25] Z. Zhang and S. Zhou, *Existence of entire positive k -convex radial solutions to Hessian equations and systems with weights*, Applied Mathematics Letters, Volume 50, December 2015, Pages 48–55.
- [26] X. Zhang, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of large solutions to 'mixed' type elliptic systems*, Applied Mathematics Letters, Volume 25, Issue 12, December 2012, Pages 2359–2364.

¹*Department of Applied Mathematics, The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Piata Romana, 1st district, postal code: 010374, postal office: 22, Romania*
E-mail address: coveidragos@yahoo.com